

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/724,265	HILFIKER ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Jong-Suk (James) Lee	3673	

**All Participants:**
**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

 (1) Jong-Suk (James) Lee.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

 (2) Ms. Becky Troutman (Reg. No. 36,703).

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 10 November 2004
**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1, 16 and 20

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

Applicant's attorney, Ms. Troutman authorized examiner's amendment to amend the above-mentioned claims in order to correct formal/informal under 35 USC 112, 2<sup>nd</sup>. Claims 1-27 are allowed (see examiner's amendment for the detail).

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

 \_\_\_\_\_  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 \_\_\_\_\_  
 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)